

Tracy, Mary

From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2016 9:57 AM
To: Tracy, Mary
Subject: FW: Regarding the proposed changes to CRLJ 26(g)

For you –



Supreme Court Clerk's Office

Please note that any pleading filed as an attachment to e-mail will be treated as the original. Therefore, if a filing is by e-mail attachment, it is not necessary to mail to the court the original of the document.

From: Shawn Alexander [mailto:salexan701@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2016 9:56 AM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: Regarding the proposed changes to CRLJ 26(g)

The proposed rule will remove the time limits for discover in District Court Cases

I agree with the previously posted comments of Mr. Ermola posted on 4/1/2016.

I would suggest if the limits are too short that they be extended to fit the circumstances, but not abandoned as Mr. Ermola points out this may well lead to increasing litigation costs and extending time for litigation.

Perhaps requiring all discovery requests to be complete within 180 days of filing and service would be a workable compromise, rather than subject the parties to a separate hearing to establish a discovery schedule or cut-off.

Thank you

Shawn Alexander
PO Box 359,
Olga, Washington 98279-0359
(360) 376-6820